United States District Court Southern District of Ohio Western Division Cincinnati, Ohio

JOHN SAYYAH, et al., Plaintiff

Civil Action No. C-1-01-459

VS.

Weber, J.; Black, M.J.

WAYNOKA PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., et al., **Defendants**

PRELIMINARY PRETRIAL CONFERENCE NOTICE PURSUANT TO FED. R.CIV.P. 16 AND 26(f)

Effective December 1, 2000, significant revisions were made to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, with the result that the Southern District of Ohio no longer has the authority to opt-out of either the MANDATORY DISCLOSURE RULES of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), or the MANDATORY DISCOVERY CONFERENCE of counsel and any pro se parties required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). Accordingly, as soon as practicable, but, in any event, no later than receipt of this notice, all counsel and any pro se parties shall agree on a date for their Discovery Conference as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). In addition, the parties must file the Report required by said Rule (see attached form) not later than 14 days after the Discovery Conference held by the parties. Unless otherwise agreed in the Discovery Plan, the parties shall make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) at or within 14 days after the Discovery Conference.

It is therefore ORDERED that the parties comply with the above mandates of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and file the Rule 26(f) Report of the Parties by JULY 15, 2004. The Court will thereafter, pursuant to Rule 16(b), enter a Calendar Order, or will set and hold a Scheduling Conference after which a Calendar Order will be issued.

> s/Jan Lahley Courtroom Deputy/Administrative Assistant for Magistrate Judge

Filed 06/08/2004

United States District Court Southern District of Ohio Western Division Cincinnati, Ohio

Plaintiff Case No.

RULE 26(f) REPORT OF THE PARTIES VS Defendant Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a meeting was held on , and was attended 1. by: _____Counsel for plaintiff _____ Counsel for plaintiff Counsel for plaintiff_____ Counsel for defendant _____ 2. The parties: have exchanged the discovery disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) will exchange such disclosures by are exempt from disclosure under Rule 26(a)(1)(E) have agreed not to make initial disclosures. 3. The parties: do not unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of, and entry of judgment by, the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636 (c) unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of, and entry of judgment by, the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636 (c) 4. Recommended cut-off date for filing any motion to amend the pleadings and/or to add additional parties: Is this case appropriate for mediation after a limited discovery period? 5. Will the parties request the services of a court mediator? Has a settlement demand been made? Date by which a settlement demand can be made? Date by which a response can be made to settlement demand?

- Recommended Discovery Plan: 6.
 - Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature A. and extent of discovery that each party needs to: (1) make a settlement evaluation,

10. Other matters for the attenti	on of the Court:
SIGNATURES: Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Defendant or Third Parties:
Ohio Bar # Trial Attorney for	Ohio Bar # Trial Attorney for
Ohio Bar # Trial Attorney for	Ohio Bar # Trial Attorney for
Ohio Bar # Trial Attorney for	Ohio Bar # Trial Attorney for